WSB University								
Field of study: Management								
Course: Problem Solving								
Educational profile: practical								
Education level: II - cy			,			0		
Number of hours per	,					2		
semester			II	III			IV	
Full-time studies (L/C/lab/pr/e)			8h C					
Part-time studies								
(L/C/lab/pr/e)								
CLASS LANGUAGE	English							
LECTURER	Mgr Maciej Stopa							
FORM	Classes (C)							
COURSE OBJECTIVES	The aim of the course is to enable students to practically apply selected tools and methods of organizational problem solving. Through teamwork, case study analysis, and workshop simulations, students will develop the ability to diagnose root causes, formulate evidence-based problem definitions, and evaluate alternative solutions. Emphasis is placed on effective communication, collaboration in culturally diverse teams, and the design of inclusive, data-informed strategies for solving real organizational challenges.							
Reference to learning outcomes - Field-related learning outcome EFMD		Description of learning outcomes						
		Description of learning outcomes			Teaching and learning methods		Verification of learning outcomes	
Knowledge								
Business analysis and research methods		Students know and understand the fundamental concepts and tools used in problem solving within organizations, including Lean principles, Ishikawa diagram, 5Why method, and the Pareto principle. Students know the stages and principles of conducting facilitated workshops and understands creative techniques for generating solutions, such as brainstorming and reverse brainstorming. Students understand the importance of communication barriers and teamwork in effective problem solving in an organizational context.			smal grou	ive ia ind ed ons d by tion. king in l ps maps awa	Observation of teamwork and discussion of developed mind maps and diagrams.	
Skills & Attitudes								
Skills & Attitudes								

Business analysis and research methods LO4	Students are able to approblem-solving tools (ediagram, Pareto analysicauses of organizational qualitative and/or quantity Students are able to analysicational processes problem definitions and on evidence. Students are able to evaluations using data-driver recommend the most effimproving a process or significant to appropriate to appropriate the students are able to evaluations using data-driver recommend the most effimproving a process or significant to appropriate the students are able to evaluate the solutions using data-driver recommend the most effimproving a process or significant to appropriate the students are able to evaluate the solutions using data-driver recommend the most efficiency and the students are able to evaluate the solutions are able to evaluate the so	e.g. 5Why, Ishikawa s) to identify root all issues based on itative data. alyze data related to as and formulate hypotheses based aluate alternative even reasoning and effective option for solving a problem.	Teaching methods: - Interactive multimedia classes and case-based explanations supported by visual presentation. Learning methods: - Working in small groups - Mind maps - Ishikawa Diagram	Observation of teamwork and discussion of developed mind maps and diagrams.
Intercultural Communication and Interpersonal Skills LO7 LO8 LO9	Students are able to collaborate effectively in culturally diverse teams, by applying appropriate communication strategies, adapting to team dynamics, and contributing constructively to solving shared organizational problems. Students are able to apply communication techniques such as feedback, negotiation, and conflict resolution in intercultural problem-solving contexts, demonstrating awareness of different cultural norms and communication styles. Students are able to design or recommend problem-solving strategies that reflect cultural sensitivity, promote equity and inclusion, and support effective collaboration in diverse organizational settings		Teaching methods: - Interactive multimedia classes and case-based explanations supported by visual presentation. Learning methods: - Working in small groups - Mind maps - Ishikawa Diagram	Observation of teamwork and discussion of developed mind maps and diagrams
Students' own workload (in didar Full- time Participation in lectures = Participation in classes = 8 Preparation to classes = 0,5 Preparation to lectures = Preparation to an examination = Project tasks = e-learning = Credit/examination = 1 others (indicate which) consultation TOTAL: 12,5 ECTS points: 0,5 Including practical classes: 8 PREPEROUSITES Basic know	Part-time Participation in lectures = Participation in classes = Preparation to classes = Preparation to lectures = Preparation to an examination = Project tasks = e-learning = Credit/examination = others (indicate which) = TOTAL: ECTS points: Including practical classes:			

ECTS points: 0,5
Including practical classes: 8
PREREQUISITES Basic knowledge of management.

COURSE CONTENT	Contact hours:				
(Division into	• Lean basics				
contact hours and e-					
learning)	• Facilitated workshops				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	• 5Why				
	• Ishikawa diagram				
	Pareto principle				
	• Brainstorm				
	reverse brainstorming				
	Communication barriers				
	Effective teamwork				
	E-learning: Not applicable				
LITERATURE	Friedrichs Olivier, Problem Solving: a systematic approach to solving problems,				
(compulsory	Tredition 2019.				
reading)	Chris Griffiths, The creativ Thinking Handbook: Your Step-bystep Duide to Problem				
	Solving in Business, Open Genius 2022				
	Solving in Business, Open Genius 2022				
OPTIONAL	Wilson Graham, Problem Solving and Decision Making, 2000.				
LITERATURE	·				
LITERATORE	2. Proctor Tony, Creative Problem Solving for Managers, Taylor & Francis 2018.				
SCHOLARLY	-				
PUBLICATIONS BY					
PERSONS WHO					
CONDUCT					
CLASSES, WHICH					
ARE RELATED TO THE MODULE					
SUBJECT					
TEACHING AIDS	Laptop, multimedia projector				
PROJECT	Not applicable				
(if implemented in	inut applicable				
the framework of a					
classes module)					
FORM AND					
CONDITIONS OF	Evaluation criteria: activity and assignments from classes:				
ASSESSMENT	- 0-20 points – preparation mind map				
	- 0-20 points – preparation Ishikawa Diagram				
CRITERIA FOR	- 0-10 - activity				
ASSESSING					
ACHIEVED					
LEARNING					
OUTCOMES.	b laboratory, pro project a a learning				

^{*} L-lecture, C- classes lab- laboratory, pro- project, e- e-learning