			WSB Univers	ity					
WSB University Field of study: Management									
Course: Risk management									
Educational profile: general									
Education level: II -cycle studies									
Number of hours per	1 2								
semester	I		II III				IV		
Full-time studies	14								
(L)	I	4							
Part-time studies									
(L/C/lab/pr/e)	Faallah								
CLASS LANGUAGE LECTURER	English Andrea Poorkovski DhD, Bref LIEW								
FORM	Andrzej Raszkowski PhD, Prof. UEW Lectures								
COURSE OBJECTIVES	The main goal of the course is to introduce students to the fundamental concepts, processes, and frameworks of risk management in organizational and international contexts. Additionally, it provides knowledge on identifying, assessing, and mitigating different categories of risk (strategic, financial, operational, compliance, and reputational). Upon completion of the course students will be able to use risk management tools and techniques for decision-making in uncertain environments and to integrate ethical, legal, and sustainability considerations into risk analysis and response strategies. The course enhances students' ability to critically evaluate risk management systems and communicate risk-related insights effectively.								
Reference to learning outcomes		Description of learning outcomes							
Field-related learning outcome EFMD		Description of learning outcomes		Teaching learning m		Verification of learning outcomes			
			Knowledge)					
Business Analysis and Reasearch Methods LO4 LO5		Students understand core concepts and terminology of risk, uncertainty, and resilience. They know the main frameworks and standards for risk management (e.g., ISO 31000, COSO ERM). Students recognize different categories of risk (strategic, financial, operational, compliance, reputational, environmental, and cyber). Students know and understand qualitative and quantitative approaches to risk identification and assessment. They are aware of tools and techniques for risk mitigation, transfer, and monitoring.		lectur 2) Case Learning met 1) Case analy 2) Litera revie	active res e studies thods: e study vsis ature w	Project final presentation. Discussion			
Responsible Leadership and Decision-making LO11		Students understand the role of governance, ethics, and sustainability in risk management.		Teaching me 1) Interact lectures 2) Case si Learning mei 1) Case si analysis 2) Literatu review	tive tudies thods: tudy	Project final presentation. Discussion			
Skills & Attitudes									

			dentification and o organizational case evaluation methods es, scenario analysis, elop risk response reduction, transfer, l justify them.	Teaching methods: 1) Interactive lectures 2) Case studies Learning methods: 1) Case study analysis 2) Literature review	Project final presentation. Discussion	
Responsible and Ethical Leadership and Decision- making LO11 LO12		Students are able to apply ethical principles in managerial decision-making and leadership practices. They can show competence in implementing responsible leadership models that promote corporate social responsibility, sustainability, and stakeholder engagement. Students are capable of assessing the impact of leadership decisions on organizational culture and long-term business success.		Teaching methods: 1) Interactive lectures 2) Case studies Learning methods: 1) Case study analysis 2) Literature review	Project final presentation. Discussion	
Digital Skills and the Use of Information and Communication Technologies LO17		Students can interpret and present risk analysis results to decision-makers in a clear, structured, and persuasive way. They collaborate effectively in group discussions on digital platforms, showing openness to diverse perspectives on uncertainty and risk.		Teaching methods: 1) Interactive lectures 2) Case studies Learning methods: 1) Case study analysis 2) Literature review	Project final presentation. Discussion	
Full- time Participation in lectures = 1 Participation in classes = Preparation to classes = Preparation to lectures = 4 Preparation to an examinat Project tasks = 4 e-learning = 6 Credit/examination = 2 others (indicate which) = 1 TOTAL: 50 ECTS points:2 Including practical classes	tion =5	Part-time Participation in lectures = Participation in classes = Preparation to classes = Preparation to lectures = Preparation to an examination = Project tasks = e-learning = Credit/examination = others (indicate which) = TOTAL: ECTS points: Including practical classes:				
	Knowledge of the fundamentals of the management and economics					
COURSE CONTENT (Division into contact hours and e- learning)	2. F 3. F 4. F 5. F 6. F 7. C	Introduction to Risk and Uncertainty in Business Frameworks and Standards for Risk Management Risk Identification and Categorization Risk Assessment Methods Risk Mitigation and Response Strategies Risk Management in Digital and Global Contexts Communicating Risk and Building Risk-Aware Cultures				
	E-learning: Success factors of effective risk management,					

	Case study of selected companies using different risk management solutions.
LITEDATURE	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
LITERATURE (compulsory	 Crosbie P., Bohn J., Modeling Default Risk – Modeling Methodology, Moody's KMV Company 2003.
reading)	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	 Föllmer H., Knispel T., Entropic risk measures: coherence vs. convexity, model ambiguity, and robust large deviations, Stochastics and Dynamics, 2011, 11(2–3), s. 1–19.
	Föllmer H., Shied A., Convex and Coherent Risk Measures, w: R. Cont (ed.), Encyclopedia of
	Quantitative Finance, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken 2010, s. 355–363.
	Macmillan L.G., Options as a Strategic Investment (3rd ed.), Institute of Finance, New York 1993.
	Theoretical and methodological issues of risk management in small and medium-sized enterprises
	/ Katarína Havierniková, Małgorzata Okręglicka, Jozef Klučka Wien ; Berlin : Mercur Verlag,
	2016.
	The failure of risk management : why it's broken and how to fix it / Douglas W. Hubbard 2nd ed.
	- Hoboken; New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2020.
	Risk management in integrated management systems / Mariusz-Jan Radło Warszawa : Warsaw
	School of Economics, 2015.
OPTIONAL	Crouhy M., Galai D., Mark R., A comparative analysis of current Credit risk models, Journal of
LITERATURE	Banking & Finance, 2000, 24, 1–2.
	Byström H., Merton Unraveled: A Flexible Way of Modeling Default Risk, Journal of Alternative
	Investments, 2006, 8, 4.
	Cadoni M., Melis R., Trudda A., Financial crisis: a new measure for risk of pension funds assets,
	Centro Ricerche Economiche Nord Sud, 2012, 31, Working Papers.
	Saługa, P.W., Zamasz, K., Dacko-Pikiewicz, Z., Szczepańska-Woszczyna, K., Malec, M., Risk-
	adjusted discount rate and its components for onshore wind farms at the feasibility stage;
	Energies, 2021, 14(20), 6840
	Zamasz, K., Kapłan, R., Kaszyński, P., Saługa, P.W.; An analysis of support mechanisms for new
	CHPs: The case of Poland, Energies, 2020, 13(21), 5635
	Saługa, P.W., Szczepańska-Woszczyna, K., Miśkiewicz, R., Chłąd, M.; Cost of equity of coal-fired
	power generation projects in Poland: Its importance for the management of decision-making
COLLOL ADL V	process; Energies, 2020, 13(18), 4833
SCHOLARLY PUBLICATIONS BY	 Raszkowski A., Bartniczak B.: Sustainable Development in the Central and Eastern European Countries (CEECs): Challenges and Opportunities. Sustainability 2019, 11, 1180.
PERSONS WHO	1
CONDUCT	 Raszkowski A., Bartniczak B.: Towards Sustainable Regional Development: Economy, Society, Environment, Good Governance Based on the Example of Polish Regions. Transformations in
CLASSES, WHICH	Business & Economics 2018, Vol. 17, No 2 (44), pp. 225-245.
ARE RELATED TO	Sobczak E., Bartniczak B., Raszkowski A.: Aging Society and the Selected Aspects of
SUBJECT	Environmental Threats: Evidence from Poland. Sustainability 2020, 12, 4648.
TEACHING AIDS	Computer, Microsoft Office, email communication.
PROJECT	Not applicable
(if implemented in	
the framework of a	
classes module)	Contact because Development of the contact of the c
FORM AND CONDITIONS OF	Contact hours: PowerPoint presentation assessment E-learning: PowerPoint presentation assessment
ASSESSMENT	Evaluation criteria:
	Presentations are typically evaluated based on content clarity, relevance, visual appeal, effective
CRITERIA FOR	communication, and the ability to engage and persuade the audience.
ASSESSING	Crading apple:
ACHIEVED LEARNING	Grading scale: 100 pts - 5,0
OUTCOMES.	90 pts - 4,5
	80 pts - 4,0
	70 pts - 3,5
	60 pts - 3,0
	50 pts - 2,0

* L-lecture, C- classes lab- laboratory, pro- project, e- e-learning