



## REVIEW TEMPLATE

Reviewer: .....

Title of the paper: .....

### A. Meeting the evaluation criteria

(Please put a number from 1 to 5 into box for each criterion to evaluate the paper, where 1 = very poor, 2 = poor, 3 = average, 4 = good and 5 = excellent)

No.	Evaluation criteria	Evaluation
1.	Does the title of the paper correspond to its content ?	
2.	Is the topic presented in the paper relevant, timely and of a significant importance for science?	
3.	Is the paper an original study?	
4.	Is the research methodology appropriate and applied properly ?	
5.	Is the goal of the paper clearly specified and realized?	
6.	Is the paper clearly and concisely written and well organized ?	
7.	Does the manuscript contain sufficient and appropriate references ?	
8.	Are tables and figures appropriate and adequate ?	
9.	Does the abstract of the paper satisfactorily present the goals, methods and results?	
10.	Do the conclusions clearly summarize the main results and contributions of the paper ?	
11.	Is the language of the paper correct?	
	Total number of points	

**45-55 points**    Accept

**35-44 points**    Accept with minor revisions

**26-34 points**    The text requires major revision and new external review

**<25 points**     Reject

### B. Final recommendation (please put a sign X on the line next to your decision)

Accept	
Accept with minor revisions	
The text requires major revision and new external review	
Reject	

**C. Justification for disposition**

1. Additional comments or suggestions to be sent to the author (s)

2. Comments to the editors (these comments will not be sent to the authors)

Reviewer signature: .....